

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/554,090	10/21/2005	Eric DeGuyon Taylor	BA9318 US PCT	2898
Linda D Birch	7590 03/01/2007		EXAMINER	
E I du Pont de Nemours & Company Legal Patents Wilmington, DE 19898			HABTE, KAHSAY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Willington, DI			1624	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	PHTM	03/01/2007	РАГ	DEB.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	·
	10/554,090	TAYLOR, ERIC DE	GUYON
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kahsay Habte	1624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this component (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 F</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters		nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10 and 11 is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	ndrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance tion is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burear * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in App rity documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National St	age
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		nmary (PTO-413) //ail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/30/2006.		mal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/554,090 Page 2

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-11 are pending in this application.

Election/Restriction

2. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, Claims 1-9 filed 2/6/2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that (1) the European Patent Office handling the PCT search did search the entire scope and expressed no difficulty searching the entire scope, (2) Claim 10 is dependent on Claim 8, rejoining Group I and Group III should not add an extra burden on the Examiner, and (3) Group I and Group II indeed share a common special technical feature. The examiner disagrees with applicants. In regard to the argument that the entire groups are examined in the European Patent Office, the argument is not found persuasive because the United Sates Patent and Trademark Office is *not* bound by the lack of unity determination by another International Searching Authority. MPEP 1875 states that whether or not the question of unity of invention has been raised by the International Searching Authority, it may be considered by the examiner when serving as an authorized officer of the International Preliminary Examining Authority. Thus, the Examiner is *not* bound by any previous determination made. In addition, 37 C.F.R. 1.484 indicates that the international preliminary examination is a non-binding opinion. Finally, 37 C.F.R. 1.499 states that, if the Examiner finds that a national stage application lacks unity of invention under 37 C.F.R. 1.475, the Examiner may in an Office action require the applicant in the

Art Unit: 1624

response to that action to elect the invention to which the claims shall be restricted. Thus, the determination of lack of unity is proper under the PCT treaty.

Unlike applicant's argument, the special technical feature of Groups I-II is different one from the other. The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the special technical feature of Group I is a benzo[1,3]oxazinone ring and is different from the

special technical feature of Group II. The special technical feature of Group II is other

fused oxazinones e.g. K = pyrido, pyrrole, etc. and is different from the special technical

feature of Group I. The isatoic anhydride moiety is a reagent that is involved in the

process, but they don't define the special technical feature of Groups I-II.

Page 3

The special technical feature of Group I and Group III is different one from the other. The special technical feature of Group III involves ring-opening reaction of a benzo[1,3]oxazinone ring that is not present in Group I. Note that coexamination of each of the additional groups would require search of subclasses unnecessary for the examination of the elected claims. For example, the search for the invention of Group II would include search of various subclasses in class 544 and the search for the invention of Group III would include search of classes 546 and 548, subclass various. Therefore, coexamination of each of these additional inventions would require a serious additional burden of search.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 10-11 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention, the requirement having been traversed.

Page 4

3. The claims are drawn to multiple inventions for reasons set forth in the restriction requirement. The claims are examined only to the extent that they read on the elected invention. Cancellation of the non-elected subject matter is recommended in response to this Office Action. It is required that applicants amend claim 1 by inserting the benzo[1,3]oxazinone moiety in place of "fused oxazinone". Applicants have also to limit the definition of J (see claim 8).

Information Disclosure Statement

4. Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement, filed on 01/30/2006 has been acknowledged. Please refer to Applicant's copies of the 1449 submitted herewith.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention:

Application/Control Number: 10/554,090

Art Unit: 1624

a. In claim 1, the phrase "carboxylic acid" is indefinite. What is covered and what is not? Note that carboxylic acid is a functional group that is attached to J (J-COOH). Since applicants elected Group I, it is recommended that applicants limit the definition of J to pyrrole derivative.

Page 5

- b. Claim 2 and claims dependent thereon are rejected because the phrase "J is an optionally substituted carbon moiety" is indefinite. In the absence of the specific moieties intended to effectuate modification by the "substitution" or attachment to the chemical core claimed, the term "substituted" renders the claims in which it appears indefinite in all occurrences wherein applicants fails to articulate by chemical name, structural formula or sufficiently distinct functional language, the particular moieties applicants regards as those which will facilitate substitution, requisite to identifying the composition of matter claimed. See above for suggestion to overcome this rejection.
- c. Regarding claim 5 (page 71, lines 12 and 30), the phrase "including" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kahsay Habte, Ph. D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0667. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9.00AM- 5:30PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached at (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kahsay Habte

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1624

KH

February 27, 2007